

Employment in Corporate India: 2015-19

Contact:
Madan Sabnavis
+91-22- 6837 4433

Manisha Sachdeva
+91-22-68374346

Mradul Mishra (Media Contact)
mradul.mishra@careratings.com
+91-22-6837 4424

Disclaimer: This report is prepared by CARE Ratings Ltd. CARE Ratings has taken utmost care to ensure accuracy and objectivity while developing this report based on information available in public domain. However, neither the accuracy nor completeness of information contained in this report is guaranteed. CARE Ratings is not responsible for any errors or omissions in analysis/inferences/views or for results obtained from the use of information contained in this report and especially states that CARE Ratings has no financial liability whatsoever to the user of this report

The issue of employment has been contentious in India as there are no clear indicators at the national level. However, corporate India provides objective information which can be obtained from Annual Reports. This has been the source explored for the study on trends in employment in the last 4 years. Data is objective as this is disclosed in the Annual Reports. However, such data has limitations in so far as that it includes only on-rolls employees as there is a large outsourcing industry which is outside the purview of the Study. Also, the data can have an upward bias when M & A activity has taken place as information is taken for the same set of companies for 5 years for this exercise. Hence, the acquired or merged entity will appear only in the combined entity and get reflected in a sharp increase in headcount. Notwithstanding these limitations such a study is a fair indicator of what is happening in the reorganized sector.

The study is based on a set of 1,938 companies spread across all sectors. The value of sales in FY19 was Rs 69 lakh crore thus covering the entire corporate sector. It includes all listed public sector entities too for which information is available. However, the SME segment may find less representation in this sample.

The Study reveals that aggregate headcount or employment increased at a CAGR of 3.3% between 2014-15 and 2018-19 i.e. over a four-year period. Ideally, the rate of growth in employment should link with growth in GDP which is the broadest indicator of economic growth. This compares with a CAGR of 7.5% in GDP during this period. On an annual basis the difference between the growth rate in GDP and employment was 5.5% in 2015-16, 4.1%, 3.3% and 4% respectively in the subsequent years. Therefore, there is a case that supports the argument that employment growth has not been commensurate with GDP growth with a difference of 4.2% in CAGR during this period.

In terms of growth in employment on an annual basis, it was 2.5% in 2015-16, 4.1% in 2016-17 and 3.9% and 2.8% respectively 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively. Hence there has been a slowdown in growth in the last 2 years after a sharp recovery in 2016-17.

Distribution of employment

The top 10 sectors covering 895 companies with employment of 4.70 mn in 2018-19 accounted for $\frac{3}{4}$ of total employment of the sample companies. As can be seen in the table below, 42.4% share was from three service industries while the balance was from manufacturing

(30%) and agriculture (3%). At the aggregate level the share of services is around 50%.

Distribution of employment across sectors

Table 1: Distribution of employment across sectors

| Sector | Number of Companies | 2019 | Share |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------|
| Bank | 37 | 1,168,235 | 18.7 |
| IT | 88 | 1,135,765 | 18.2 |
| Textile | 164 | 383,847 | 6.2 |
| Finance | 205 | 341,956 | 5.5 |
| Miscellaneous | 25 | 339,132 | 5.4 |
| Healthcare | 97 | 331,819 | 5.3 |
| Auto & Ancillary | 123 | 328,060 | 5.3 |
| Mining | 7 | 301,429 | 4.8 |
| Iron & Steel | 72 | 189,855 | 3.0 |
| Agri | 77 | 184,580 | 3.0 |

There were 107 companies that had employment count of above 10,000 each in 2018-19 with aggregate headcount of 4.36 mn or 70% of aggregate workforce.

Distribution of companies according to CAGR

To further understand the trends in employment, the sample companies can be separated according to the CAGR during this period.

Table 2: Distribution of companies by CAGR

| Range of CAGR growth | Number of companies | Employment count 2018-19 | Share in total employment | CAGR |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Equal to or above 3.3 | 678 | 3,470,788 | 55.6 | 11.5 |
| 3-3.3 | 30 | 76,502 | 1.2 | 3.1 |
| 2-3 | 82 | 263,331 | 4.2 | 2.5 |
| 1-2 | 91 | 296,932 | 4.7 | 1.6 |
| 0-1.0 | 150 | 403,051 | 6.5 | 0.7 |
| Negative | 907 | 1,730,170 | 27.7 | -6.7 |
| All | 1938 | 6,240,774 | 100.0 | 3.3 |

The table shows that around half the companies had witnessed a decline in growth in employment over this time period while 35% of them had witnessed growth of 11.5% on the aggregate each with an above average CAGR of 3.3%.

Interestingly in the range of 3.3% and above, the top 10 companies in terms of employment number had headcount of 1.82 mn which is around 30% of the universe of 6.24 mn. Within this set 20 companies accounted for 1 mn of employment in 2018-19 and registered growth of 23%. However, there has been an upward bias in this range group due to M & A activity which takes in the terminal year of merger and excludes the comparable headcount in the previous years.

Employment across industries

The table below provides trends in employment number across various industries and covers 1,893 companies in the sample of 1,938. Those left out are not representative of the relevant industry. They have been broadly clubbed under an economic classification: core sector, consumer oriented, investment oriented, other manufacturing, financial sector, non-financial services and others.

Some observations:

- The core industries have witnessed virtually negative growth in headcount, with crude oil just about maintaining the employment level. These industries have been impacted by the slowdown in GDP growth as well as the challenges on the NPA side for banks.
- A similar picture is witnessed for the heavy investment industries where growth has tended to be negative for power and capital goods and just 0.4% for infra.
- The consumer oriented industries show a varied pattern :
 - o In case of agricultural and durable goods there has been a deceleration in employment while there has been an increase for FMCG and textiles albeit at a lower than sample average of 3.3%.
 - o This is reflective of the slow uptick in consumer demand which has affected these industries not just in terms of sales as it evident from financial performance numbers but also a cautious approach to manpower planning.
- The category other manufacturing had witnessed fairly good growth in employment with 5 of the 8 industries registering higher than sample growth in employment. Two have witnessed negative growth i.e. paper and gems and jewellery while chemicals recorded growth of just 1.6%. Healthcare and automobiles have registered a healthy growth of 4.8% in employment which is quite impressive.
- The financial sector's performance has by far been most impressive with banks, NBFCs and insurance witnessing impressive growth. The highest growth was in the NBFC segment which has added more from 2016-17 onwards as the sector also witnessed sharp growth in business.
- In the non-financial sector segment, the IT and retail industries have registered near or above average growth. However, telecom, hospitality and realty have witnessed negative growth reflecting the state of the industry. The telecom industry has been through upheavals which have led to several mergers that have impacted headcount. In case of realty the decline in growth in business impacted job prospects.
- The 'others' category showed high growth with the miscellaneous group of companies witnessing the highest growth with the inclusion of a large outsourcing company.

Table 3: Trends in employment: Industry-wise

| Sector | Nos. | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | CAGR |
|---------------------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|
| Core sector | | | | | | | |
| Mining | 7 | 349,488 | 338,859 | 326,138 | 314,206 | 301,429 | -3.6 |
| Iron & Steel | 72 | 210,208 | 199,904 | 193,660 | 188,091 | 189,855 | -2.5 |
| Crude Oil | 17 | 129,064 | 127,351 | 127,454 | 130,127 | 129,485 | 0.1 |
| Construction Materials | 57 | 91,738 | 87,840 | 85,262 | 86,299 | 85,306 | -1.8 |
| Consumer oriented industries | | | | | | | |
| Textile | 164 | 345,678 | 355,128 | 369,679 | 381,442 | 383,847 | 2.7 |
| Agri | 77 | 225,862 | 216,649 | 215,051 | 210,815 | 184,580 | -4.9 |
| FMCG | 72 | 121,722 | 127,370 | 124,531 | 128,165 | 131,893 | 2.0 |
| Consumer Durables | 22 | 26,921 | 25,532 | 24,954 | 24,794 | 26,145 | -0.7 |
| Investment oriented industries | | | | | | | |
| Capital Goods | 122 | 140,699 | 135,437 | 133,061 | 126,706 | 124,996 | -2.9 |
| Infrastructure | 52 | 111,863 | 111,254 | 98,519 | 107,241 | 113,667 | 0.4 |
| Power | 27 | 99,711 | 95,418 | 92,118 | 91,656 | 86,247 | -3.6 |
| Other Manufacturing | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare | 97 | 275,032 | 295,392 | 317,989 | 327,014 | 331,819 | 4.8 |
| Automobile & Ancill. | 123 | 272,358 | 301,296 | 310,091 | 319,142 | 328,060 | 4.8 |
| Chemicals | 142 | 108,040 | 107,670 | 110,321 | 110,674 | 114,118 | 1.4 |
| Non - Ferrous Metals | 23 | 64,174 | 60,816 | 71,791 | 75,518 | 79,726 | 5.6 |
| Plastic Products | 57 | 46,590 | 50,253 | 52,347 | 55,228 | 54,235 | 3.9 |
| Paper | 30 | 26,909 | 27,839 | 27,237 | 26,074 | 26,393 | -0.5 |
| Electricals | 28 | 10,715 | 11,636 | 13,284 | 13,479 | 13,943 | 6.8 |
| Diamond & Jewellery | 15 | 14,749 | 14,993 | 15,079 | 15,184 | 14,478 | -0.5 |
| Financial sector | | | | | | | |
| Banks | 37 | 1,002,253 | 1,053,385 | 1,086,790 | 1,160,076 | 1,168,235 | 3.9 |
| Finance | 205 | 194,217 | 204,133 | 268,727 | 308,059 | 341,956 | 15.2 |
| Insurance | 5 | 53,629 | 56,121 | 57,425 | 65,049 | 66,553 | 5.5 |
| Non-financial services | | | | | | | |
| IT | 88 | 943,040 | 1,013,769 | 1,053,493 | 1,067,557 | 1,135,765 | 4.8 |
| Retailing | 13 | 63,229 | 57,399 | 59,887 | 65,638 | 71,792 | 3.2 |
| Telecom | 19 | 85,428 | 85,074 | 73,181 | 69,970 | 63,745 | -7.1 |
| Media & Entertainment | 44 | 53,904 | 50,973 | 59,653 | 58,851 | 59,903 | 2.7 |
| Hospitality | 42 | 58,992 | 53,506 | 54,869 | 54,684 | 51,043 | -3.6 |
| Logistics | 29 | 46,019 | 47,049 | 49,012 | 48,619 | 48,587 | 1.4 |
| Trading | 91 | 26,761 | 26,909 | 28,780 | 28,212 | 29,007 | 2.0 |
| Realty | 77 | 27,819 | 26,029 | 24,627 | 25,316 | 26,416 | -1.3 |
| Others | | | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | 25 | 132,874 | 136,945 | 208,332 | 281,781 | 339,132 | 26.4 |
| Diversified | 14 | 73,305 | 68,783 | 70,807 | 64,043 | 75,466 | 0.7 |

Is there a relationship between employment growth and production?

Prima facie it does appear that as production grows, the demand for labour would also increase and hence a positive correlation is expected. In this context, the CAGR in the employment in various industries is mapped with the growth witnessed as per the IIP. The sector in the IIP (both within manufacturing or use-based) which comes closest to the industries mentioned in Table 3 have been mapped. The coefficient of correlation between the two is -0.05 indicating there is no relationship between the two.

Table 4 presents information on compound growth in employment and equivalent IIP growth number for the 4 years ending 2018-19. As can be seen the two do not appear to be related.

- A reason can be that even if production declines, companies do not always resort to reducing employment of permanent staff and would prefer to bench them so that when the cycle turns around, there would be no issue in having the requisite manpower. Human resources are often planned keeping in mind prospective requirements too and are not just restricted to present circumstances.
- Negative growth in employment indicates that there is a lower number of staff which has been associated with positive production growth. This can be attributed to non-replacement of certain categories of staff which retire, higher use of technology, greater recourse to outsourced labour especially where specialized skills are not required.

Also often companies prefer not to have staff on rolls as it is cumbersome to rationalize when business is down due to the prevalence of the labour laws. This also leads to higher dependence on outsourced labour as well as technology.

Table 4: CAGR employment and IIP (2014-15 to 2018-19)

| Sector | Employment | IIP | Sector | Employment | IIP |
|------------------------|------------|-----|----------------------|------------|------|
| Mining | -3.6 | 3.7 | Infrastructure | 0.4 | 4.7 |
| Iron & Steel | -2.5 | 4.9 | Power | -3.6 | 5.5 |
| Crude Oil | 0.1 | 3.9 | Healthcare | 4.8 | 16.5 |
| Construction Materials | -1.8 | 5.6 | Auto & Ancillaries | 4.8 | 4.6 |
| Textile | 2.7 | 0.4 | Chemicals | 1.4 | 2.2 |
| Agri | -4.9 | 3.9 | Non - Ferrous Metals | 5.6 | 3.8 |
| FMCG | 2.0 | 6.2 | Plastic Products | 3.9 | -2.1 |
| Consumer Durables | -0.7 | 3.1 | Paper | -0.5 | -2.5 |
| Capital Goods | -2.9 | 3.2 | Electricals | 6.8 | -2.5 |

Concluding remarks:

1. Growth in employment has trailed growth in GDP indicating that the two have not moved in commensurate terms.
2. The CAGR in employment and growth in physical production for industries in the manufacturing sector are not well related.
3. Service sector has performed better than manufacturing with financial sector industries doing better in terms of higher recruitment. The IT sector too had an impressive performance