

Rating Methodology - Power Generation Projects

[Issued in September 2020]

Background:

As per Central Electricity Authority (CEA), the total installed capacity of electricity is 371.05 GW, with 173.94 GW (i.e., 46.88%) of the same is being contributed by private power producers, while 25.19% and 27.93% is contributed by central and state sector, respectively, as of June 30, 2020. Power projects are capital intensive in nature, and in order to fund them, recourse to publicly issued debt would be necessary.

CARE Ratings has developed a rating methodology for debt issues of Power Generation Projects. The rating procedure is designed to facilitate appropriate credit risk assessment, keeping in view the characteristics of the Indian power sector. CARE's rating looks at a time horizon over the life of the debt instrument being rated and covers the following areas while rating power generation projects.

1. Overview of the project

CARE Ratings examines the broad parameters of the project based on the detailed project report submitted by the client. The major areas covered are:

- Promoters
- The nature of power project - hydel, thermal, nuclear or non-conventional - and its technical characteristics.
- Generation capacity.
- Location of the project.
- Economic considerations, viz., cost efficiency, return on capital, etc.
- Infrastructure requirements for operations and the extent to which infrastructure has to be developed by the power producer.
- Fuel/ water requirement and sources & arrangements to procure the same.
- Technical arrangements and collaborators.
- Implementation schedule.
- Selling and distribution arrangements.

2. Evaluation of Power Purchase Agreement (PPA)

PPA is a crucial document outlining the rights and responsibilities of the power producer and evaluation of the same forms a critical part of the rating exercise. CARE Ratings looks at the

tenor of the contract to assess the horizon of revenue visibility. Long-term PPAs may be executed on cost-plus basis or may be awarded on the basis of competitively bid tariff. Long-term PPAs carry low sales risk with pre-agreed pricing and generally leading to lesser volatility in revenues. The long-term PPAs on cost-plus basis covering the full loan tenor are viewed more positively by CARE as compared to medium/short PPAs. Furthermore, the medium-term PPAs may be executed with discoms or Nodal agency/trading company having back-to-back selling arrangement with discoms. Medium-term PPAs can be either competitively bid or based on mutually agreed tariff for bulk industrial customers. These PPAs carry relatively higher risk as these generally do not cover the full loan tenor and the company's ability to timely renew the same at remunerative tariff is evaluated. Furthermore, the power projects may also sell power on short-term basis/power exchanges at spot tariff rates and remains exposed to vagaries of price fluctuation and quantum of power off-take and bears highest sales risk.

The tariff rates are critically analysed to determine their adequacy to ensure the profitability and debt servicing capability of the power project at the minimum performance level and at higher levels of performance. While analysing the PPAs, CARE also critically examines the termination clauses in PPA, events of defaults by either power producer, power purchaser or both, and the compensation being payable in case of triggering of such event of default.

3. Fuel Supply Risk

Around 60% of the total generating capacity in India is based on coal/gas. These plants face the challenges of mining output and logistic issues which makes fuel supply a significant risk. Hence, assessment of the same has to be rigorous. CARE Ratings evaluates the adequacy of fuel supply so as to declare the capacity at normative levels. CARE Ratings also analyses the extent of tie-up of the fuel requirement through long-term arrangements, tenor of the arrangement, the level of current despatch from the vendor, proximity of the plant to the mines, mode of transport and associated costs. The fuel cost pass-through risk is assessed by analysing the escalation in energy charge quoted in the Power PPA. A plant, having full fuel cost pass-through is perceived to be less risky than one which has partial/no cost pass-through.

4. Capital Structure of Power Project

The ability to meet debt service obligations in time depends critically on the financing plan of the project. CARE studies the technical aspects of the project, financial structure, the funding profile in terms of maturity, proportion of foreign currency loans & rupee loans and the hedging strategies to be used for covering exchange risk. Any covenants in the debt documents or PPA, which can impair debt servicing capability, are also evaluated critically.

5. Management Evaluation

The evaluation of quality of management is an essential part of all rating assessments. CARE Ratings evaluates the management from different perspectives like financial capabilities, experience in the industry, track record in implementing and operating large projects and availability of technical manpower. For detailed note on evaluation of management risk: Refer to CARE's Rating Methodology- Infrastructure Sector Ratings (ISR) on our website www.careratings.com.

6. Project Implementation Risk

Project implementation risks assume significance in a power project due to the long gestation period and large investments involved in such projects. CARE Ratings analyses the following factors in this regard:

- Extent of tie-up for finance.
- Capability of the power producer to raise additional resources in case of cost overruns.
- Contractor capability, based on technical and financial strengths as well as past experience.
- Political risks.
- Environmental issues.
- Cushion provided in the financing plan and construction schedule for possible delays.
- Appointment of reputed contractors at fixed price and date contract and selection of best possible equipment increases the chances of successful and timely implementation of a project.
- Provision to levy liquidated damages in case of delay and under-performance of project contractor.

During project implementation, CARE Ratings would monitor the progress vis-à-vis the initial cost and time estimates to determine the effect of variations from schedule on the ability to meet debt servicing obligations.

7. Credit Assessment of Power Purchaser

Revenue generation for a power producer depends on the financial strength of the buyer(s) of power. The assessment of the power purchaser is, therefore, an integral part of the rating of a power producer. While assessing the counterparties (which largely are state discoms) CARE Ratings evaluates trends in cost coverage, level of AT&C losses, timeliness of receipt of subsidies from state government, timely revision in retail tariff, etc. Also, various credit support mechanisms offered by off-taker such as revolving letters of credit, escrow accounts, dedicated revenue allocations, guarantees and counter-guarantees would normally improve the quality of the issue and its rating.

8. Operating Risk

Operating risk covers the ability of the project to achieve the performance as envisaged. The following factors are considered in this regard:

- Technology
- O&M Arrangements
- Fuel Supply arrangement- adequacy, price, logistics, flexibility to use alternative fuel, etc
- Power evacuation arrangement
- Operational metrics like auxiliary consumption, station heat rate, etc, affects variable cost. Plants having lower variable and fixed cost have competitive edge over its counterparts which is considered a credit positive.
- Plant availability (a function of the factors mentioned above) which increases the fixed cost recovery and thus cash accruals of the plant.
- Regulatory risk – extent of under recovery of cost over the time may impact cash accrual and realization of receivables which may affect the ability debt serviceability.
- Capability to comply with revision in compliances including enhanced environmental emission norms. CARE assesses the impact of the increasing cost of compliance and

the ability of the project to pass on the increase in cost to the off-takers under the change in law.

9. Financial Analysis of Projected Operations

An in-depth analysis of the projected operations is undertaken to get a clear insight on the power producer's ability to service debt. The analysis would involve critical examination of the underlying assumptions, location of possible stress points and the extent of flexibility available to tide over difficulties. CARE Ratings evaluates the strength of key assumptions and trends in the projected free cash flow to arrive at a base case cover against the scheduled debt payment. It also sensitizes the projected free cash flow through stress scenarios to assess the extent of buffer available for debt coverage.

The financial analysis takes into account the following factors:

- Financial Structure and Leverage
- Earning Capacity
- Cash Flow Adequacy
- Debt Service Coverage
- Collection efficiency
- Financial Flexibility

CARE Ratings analyses each of the above factors and their linkages to arrive at the overall assessment of credit quality. Peer comparisons are carried out as an integral part of the financial analysis.

Mitigation of credit risk due to any credit enhancement provided is carefully evaluated before assigning the final rating.

10. Force Majeure Risk

The impact of force majeure event is usually higher for infrastructure projects including power projects given the single asset nature of the operations. This may be mitigated to a large extent through insurance or adequate provisions in contractual agreements. In case of insurance cover, the extent of coverage, quantum of admissible claim and timely receipt of claim proceeds is evaluated.

11. Structural Risk

CARE Ratings evaluates the structural features and covenants of the instrument being rated which may provide cushion to the lenders. The stipulation and compliance of maintenance of designated accounts (viz, debt service reserve account to tide temporary cash flow mismatch, escrow accounts, TRA for maintaining priority in payment, etc), is seen positively in credit analysis.

Conclusion

The rating outcome is ultimately an assessment of the fundamentals and the probabilities of change in the fundamentals. CARE Ratings analyses each of the above factors and their linkages to arrive at the overall assessment of credit quality, by taking into account the industry scenario. While the methodology encompasses comprehensive financial, commercial, economic and management analysis, credit rating is an overall assessment of all aspects of the issuer.

[For previous version please refer 'Rating Methodology - Private Power Producers' issued in [August 2018](#)]

CARE Ratings Limited

4th Floor, Godrej Coliseum, Somaiya Hospital Road,
Off Eastern Express Highway, Sion (East), Mumbai - 400 022.
Tel: +91-22-6754 3456, Fax: +91-22- 6754 3457, E-mail: care@careratings.com

Disclaimer

CARE's ratings are opinions on the likelihood of timely payment of the obligations under the rated instrument and are not recommendations to sanction, renew, disburse or recall the concerned bank facilities or to buy, sell or hold any security. CARE's ratings do not convey suitability or price for the investor. CARE's ratings do not constitute an audit on the rated entity. CARE has based its ratings/outlooks on information obtained from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. CARE does not, however, guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. Most entities whose bank facilities/instruments are rated by CARE have paid a credit rating fee, based on the amount and type of bank facilities/instruments. CARE or its subsidiaries/associates may also have other commercial transactions with the entity. In case of partnership/proprietary concerns, the rating /outlook assigned by CARE is, inter-alia, based on the capital deployed by the partners/proprietor and the financial strength of the firm at present. The rating/outlook may undergo change in case of withdrawal of capital or the unsecured loans brought in by the partners/proprietor in addition to the financial performance and other relevant factors. CARE is not responsible for any errors and states that it has no financial liability whatsoever to the users of CARE's rating. Our ratings do not factor in any rating related trigger clauses as per the terms of the facility/instrument, which may involve acceleration of payments in case of rating downgrades. However, if any such clauses are introduced and if triggered, the ratings may see volatility and sharp downgrades