

Rating Methodology - Non Banking Finance Companies (NBFCs)

[In supersession of “Rating Methodology - Non Banking Finance Companies (NBFCs)” issued in [Dec2018](#)]

Background

Non-banking finance companies (NBFCs) have grown in stature over the years and have gained systemic importance in the Indian financial landscape with growing share in credit vis-à-vis banks. NBFCs operate in wide variety of asset classes ranging from granular retail loans (E.g. personal loans, vehicle loans, small business loans, gold loans, microfinance loans etc.) to large-ticket wholesale loans (E.g. lending to corporates, infrastructure, real-estate and structured credit). While NBFCs operate under the regulatory ambit of the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), the level of regulation and supervision for NBFCs is relatively moderate when compared to banks. NBFCs have carved a niche for themselves in the Indian financial sector through their differentiated business models, credit appraisal methods targeting the relatively un-banked borrower segments with niche domain expertise, providing last mile credit delivery and significant usage of technology for achieving better operational efficiency and risk management. CARE Ratings assigns ratings to various debt instruments and bank facilities of NBFCs based on this methodology.

Methodology

CARE’s rating methodology for NBFCs is applied to companies registered as NBFCs with the RBI. This methodology highlights the parameters considered by CARE for a standalone assessment of NBFCs. The final rating also factors in any additional notching that is applicable for parent/promoter group linkages which is done as per CARE’s methodology of ‘Factoring Linkages in Ratings’. The key parameters considered for a standalone assessment of NBFCs are depicted below.



The above parameters are elaborated in the sections below.

Rating Methodology –NBFCs

1. Capital and Leverage

Level of capital determines the ability of the NBFC to absorb losses arising out its business activities and provides cushion to its lenders against such losses. Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) is a measure of the degree to which the company's capital is available to absorb unexpected loss; high CAR also indicates the ability of the company to undertake additional business.

While NBFCs are required to comply with a minimum CAR stipulated by RBI, CARE Ratings looks at the management's approach towards maintaining a cushion over regulatory CAR in light of the asset-class mix of its lending portfolio along with the corresponding trend in delinquencies and portfolio concentration.

CARE Ratings also looks at the debt equity ratio of the NBFC as a leverage measure. NBFC's leverage is a function of its business mix, asset-class wise growth potential, delinquency trends and portfolio concentration among other factors. While relatively higher leverage is acceptable for granular and stable asset classes like retail home loans, a lower leverage may be warranted for portfolios which are either more concentrated (e.g. Corporate or builder loans) or the ones which exhibit higher risk of delinquencies like Micro Finance loans, unsecured SME loans etc. CARE Ratings looks at leverage in light of these underlying factors along with any synergies derived from parentage or group linkages.

Demonstrated ability of a NBFC to raise adequate equity capital from varied set of investors is viewed favourably. Similarly, demonstration of support to a NBFC through equity infusion by a strong promoter group or parent company is also viewed favourably. For NBFCs resorting to securitization of their assets, CARE Ratings assesses leverage, asset quality and profitability on the basis of assets under management (AUM) by treating such off-balance sheet assets as on-balance sheet.

CARE Ratings follows a consolidated approach when the group companies are engaged in similar business (lending) but operate through different entities due to different asset class and corresponding regulatory compliances to be followed. In such case, CARE Ratings considers the capital adequacy of the holding company at standalone level as per regulatory requirements. Further, overall gearing and Net NPA / Net worth ratios are analysed to ascertain whether the entity has sufficient level of capitalization at the consolidated level.

2. Asset Quality

Asset Quality is one the most critical parameters while assessing NBFCs. Asset quality is dependent on the asset class in which a NBFC operates. The business of NBFCs is to assume credit risk and earn a profit after factoring in the expected level of credit costs. Such credit costs depend on the nature of the asset class and are built into the pricing of

Rating Methodology –NBFCs

loans in that segment. NBFCs strive to keep the credit costs in check within expected levels through efficient risk management, collection and recovery framework. Credit costs are primarily impacted by level of delinquencies observed in the loan portfolio. Worsening of the delinquencies in the loan portfolio, not only suppresses profitability through higher credit costs, but also puts pressure on capital cushion available to absorb losses and can lead to restricted access to funds from the market resulting in subdued growth prospects. Given that NBFCs primarily are dependent on wholesale funding, worsening of key parameter like Gross NPA level can quickly and severely impact access to funds which in turn can threaten the viability of the operations of a NBFC.

The overall asset quality of NBFCs is assessed by evaluating the asset-class wise exposures. In case of wholesale assets, large vulnerable exposures are examined critically since the same can impact capital position in case of stress. In case of retail loan book (vehicle, housing, SME etc.), the empirical trend in delinquencies exhibited for the entity is examined for each retail asset-class and the same is also compared with the industry peers.

The historical collection efficiency and the company's experience of loan losses and write-off/provisions are studied. The portfolio diversification and exposure to vulnerable sectors is evaluated to assess the level of vulnerable assets. In case of high-ticket size loans like corporate or real estate loans, the top exposures are analysed. The proportion of such wholesale loans in the overall portfolio is examined. Further, such exposures are also viewed in relation to the company's net worth so as to assess the extent of concentration and vulnerability to any of the large exposures turning delinquent. The asset quality of individual product classes is viewed in tandem with the seasoning of the loan book. NBFCs with short track record would have seen limited seasoning of its portfolio so as to make any meaningful assessment of its steady state asset quality. NBFCs which report an aggressive growth rate of loan book year on year also have a large part of their loan book remaining unseasoned and hence assessment of its steady state asset quality becomes difficult.

Exposure to group entities, in the form of lending or investment, is examined to understand the loss potential of such assets. The same is subject to stress test in the same way as any other asset and the impact is evaluated on level of NPA and provisioning needs.

3. Profitability

CARE Ratings analyses the composition of income of the company by segregating it into fee based and fund based activities. Core earnings are also identified by excluding non-recurring income from total income. Each business area that contributes to the core earnings is assessed for risks as well as for its earnings prospects and growth rate. It is

Rating Methodology –NBFCs

examined whether the interest yields are commensurate with the asset class and nature of operations.

Profitable operations are essential for NBFCs to operate as a going concern and generate internal capital which can be deployed for future growth. Historical trend in declaring dividend and the dividend policy is studied as this would determine the extent of profits retained and available for plough back in the business. Profitability is gauged through trend in return on total assets and return on net worth. The contributing factors to a NBFC's profitability are assessed to study the overall impact. The major ones include interest spread, net interest margin, other income, operating expenses and credit costs.

Interest spread and Net interest margin are determined by average yield earned on assets and average cost of funds raised by a NBFC. While interest rates charged on loans is a function of the asset class and NBFC's competitive positioning, interest expense is driven by the liability profile and borrowing mix of a NBFC. Apart from interest income, many NBFCs also have a fee income component which adds to the total income and is intended to cover up for operating expenses.

Operating expenses (opex) are dependent upon the nature of operations and business model deployed by a NBFC. Retail lending is generally more opex intensive as it involves setting up branches and deploying manpower for various functions like origination, underwriting and collections. On the contrary, opex is relatively low for wholesale lending operations. Within retail lending also, some products require higher opex vis-à-vis others. Ratios, such as, Operating Expenses/Average Total Assets and Cost to Income (net of Interest expenses) are looked at in order to understand its impact on the overall profitability of a NBFC.

Finally, the credit cost is driven by provisioning and write-offs made by the NBFC and is dependent on the asset quality of the underlying portfolio. The overall impact of the above factors on the Return of Total Assets (RoTA) is studied to gain an understanding about profitability. Further, the Return on Networth (RoNW) is also looked at and is impacted by the extent of leverage of a NBFC.

4. Liquidity

Lack of liquidity can lead an NBFC towards failure, while, strong liquidity can help even an otherwise weak company to remain adequately funded during difficult times. CARE Ratings evaluates the internal and external sources of funds to meet the company's requirements. The liquidity risk is evaluated by examining the stated liquidity policy, the assets liabilities maturity (ALM) profile, collection efficiency, deposit renewal rates (based on empirical evidence) and proportion of liquid assets in relation to its total borrowings. The contractual liabilities like commercial papers, short term loans are not assumed to be rolled over. The short term external funding sources in the form of

Rating Methodology –NBFCs

unutilized lines of credit available from banks etc. along with direct and other investments if any are important sources of reserve liquidity. While considering unutilized bank lines as back up, the availability of such lines is also assessed in a scenario of change in sentiments towards the sector or the promoters or due to overall tight liquidity scenario in the system.

CARE Ratings looks at the debt repayment obligations of NBFC over the next 12 months and the extent to which cash and liquid assets are available to cover it. Further, the scheduled inflows from credit assets (adjusted for collection efficiency) over the next 12 months is compared with the 12 month debt obligations to arrive at a cover based on such asset inflows. For NBFCs running a negative ALM mismatch in 1 year bucket, such cover will tend to be below 100%, thereby increasing the refinancing risk.

From liquidity perspective, NBFCs adopting a liability maturity profile which is consistent with the asset maturity are viewed favourably. Any negative mismatch without proper backup is viewed as a risk. In case of entities belonging to large groups, demonstrated support from group will be considered as backup.

In case of presence of any acceleration clauses embedded in borrowing agreements with lenders/investors which are linked to downgrade in external credit ratings, the ALM profile of a NBFC can be severely distressed in case of such rating downgrades. CARE Ratings, in its assessment of liquidity, does not take into account the presence of such rating-linked acceleration clauses. However, NBFCs have witnessed severe liquidity mismatches in such events which have translated into sharp deterioration in their liquidity profile upon trigger of such clauses. In such cases, the ratings will see a much sharper migration than otherwise.

5. Resource Profile

Resource base of the NBFC is analysed in terms of cost and composition. Proportion of deposits/ loans/ bonds in funding mix is examined. Ability to diversify funding sources is a key factor in rating of NBFCs. Generally, the entities having major funding from different segments of the capital markets and overseas markets are considered having better diversification of resources. Average as well as incremental cost of funds is examined in the context of prevailing interest rate regime. Ability of the company to raise additional resources at competitive rates is examined critically. Stability of sources of finance is also an important indicator of the resource raising ability of the NBFC. The managements' strategy for funding is examined in light of its appropriateness with its growth strategy, the assets class, maintaining buffer / head room for raising capital in the form of securitisation, tier II capital, etc. The funding mix should be prudent to the nature of assets.

Rating Methodology –NBFCs

6. Management & Systems

The track record of the promoters, experience of the management team and the organizational structure of the company are considered. The company's strategic objectives and initiatives in the context of resources available, its ability to identify opportunities and track record in managing stress situations are taken as indicators of managerial competence. Market reputation of the promoters of a NBFC is also a key factor in its ability to access various funding sources at competitive rates. Adequacy of the information systems used by the management is evaluated. CARE Ratings focuses on modern practices and systems, level of technology deployed, capabilities of senior management and personnel policies. In case of shared resources by group companies, the strength and quality of group cos/businesses is considered while assessing the management strength. Further, the proven capabilities of the NBFC in its asset class and peer group is also examined.

The management's stance (both stated and exhibited) on risk and risk management framework is examined. Credit risk management is evaluated by examining the appraisal, monitoring and recovery systems and prudential lending norms of the company. The company's policy on liquidity risk and interest rate risk is examined. CARE Ratings examines track record of the company in complying with regulatory requirements of RBI.

7. Size, Vintage & Market Presence

Size is reflected through the level of capital and level of total assets of a NBFC. Large size would generally be associated with long operating track record, significant market presence, demonstrated ability to raise resource from varied source and asset quality and profitability performance established over time through the cycles. Management's strategy for profitable growth and their ability to navigate through difficult business environment is better assessed for a NBFC which has a long track record of operations and has grown to a relatively large size. While large size by itself is not a direct determinant of ratings, it does provide an indication of the competitive strength and financial flexibility of a NBFC. Large NBFCs can have a diversified portfolio or could be a sizeable player in a single asset class. In either case, the ability to compete and generate risk-adjusted returns over time is better gauged for NBFCs which have a long track record.

CARE Ratings looks at the market position of the NBFC in individual asset classes and an understanding about its competitive position is developed. Market presence is gauged through the extent of its branch network and geographical spread of operations.

Track record of a NBFC in a given asset class is viewed in order to assess the experience of the company in operating in a given asset class and its ability to perform steadily

Rating Methodology –NBFCs

through various asset cycles. Portfolio seasoning is critical for assessing the asset quality and profitability parameters on a steady state basis. NBFCs with low vintage or very rapid growth in loan book lack adequate portfolio seasoning and may not reflect steady state asset quality and profitability parameters. Hence, vintage is an important parameter which is considered while assessing critical parameters like asset quality and profitability.

Additional Considerations

▪ **Peer Group Analysis**

CARE Ratings analyzes various financial and non-financial parameters of a NBFC under the overall framework mentioned above. The quantitative factors are evaluated based on the absolute level of numbers and ratios as well as their volatility and trends exhibited over time. CARE Ratings also compares the company's performance on each of the above discussed parameters with its peers. Detailed inter-firm analysis is done to determine the relative strengths and weaknesses of the company in its present operating environment and its prospects.

▪ **Market based Indicators**

CARE Ratings tracks market based indicators like market capitalization and price/book value for equity-listed NBFCs and compares the same with other listed NBFCs to gain a sense of relative valuation as viewed by equity market. Further, CARE Ratings also keeps a track of bond yields and spreads of NBFC debt instruments in order gain an understanding of the markets view about its risk perception. Reasons for sharp changes in yields vis-à-vis similarly rated peers are examined. CARE Ratings tracks these market indicators so as to understand the market's perception of the value and risk of a NBFC and also to assess the ability of the NBFC to raise resources (equity & debt) at competitive rates to support its business model.

CARE Ratings looks at various financial ratios while analyzing NBFCs. The description of such ratios can be found in the ['Financial Ratios – Financial Sector'](#) document on CARE Ratings' website.

Criteria for Rating of Subordinated Debt of NBFCs

CARE Ratings generally does not differentiate between the rating of senior and subordinated debt of a NBFC. This is on account of the inherent features of the subordinated debt as highlighted below.

- A subordinated debt instrument functions exactly similar to a senior debt instrument in a going concern scenario, i.e. servicing of the same (principal as well as interest) is

Rating Methodology –NBFCs

purely cash-flow driven. The servicing of this instrument is not dependent on presence of profits or maintenance of any minimum capital adequacy parameter by the borrowing entity (unlike the case with other instruments like Upper Tier II or Innovative Perpetual debt issues by banks).

- Similar to other senior debt instruments e.g. Non-Convertible Debentures (NCDs), there are no regulatory restrictions with respect to servicing of a subordinated debt instrument in a going concern scenario. These instruments are in nature of medium to long term instruments and are required to be issued for a minimum five year tenor to qualify for capital adequacy computation.
- The instrument derives its “subordinated” nature only in the event of liquidation of the issuer, wherein it would rank lower to the claims of other senior creditors. This would affect the loss given default (LGD). However, it would not lead to any difference in the probability of default (PD) between Senior and Subordinated instruments.

The seniority of claim of a Senior Debt over Subordinated Debt comes into picture only in case of a liquidation scenario and on a going concern basis the repayments for both types of debt instruments happens simultaneously and is a matter of liquidity risk. For highly rated NBFCs and HFCs the liquidity risk is typically minimal. Therefore the long term probability of default for Senior and Subordinated debts of a company are similar and the same should reflect in their long term ratings. However, CARE Ratings may choose to differentiate between senior and subordinated debt on a case to case basis on the basis of credit strength, liquidity profile and any issuer-specific circumstances that may prevail.

Criteria for Rating of Perpetual Debt Instruments of NBFCs

RBI allowed systemically important non-deposit taking NBFCs (NBFC-ND-SI) to issue perpetual debt instruments in FY2009 in order to augment their capital base. Such instruments have some unique features which alters their risk profile vis-à-vis the senior debt issued by NBFCs. Key features of such instruments are as below.

Maturity Period	Perpetual maturity
Options	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ May have embedded ‘Call’ option subject to the instrument having ran for at least 10 years from date of issue ▪ Call option shall be exercised only with the prior approval of RBI. Key consideration for RBI would be NBFC's CRAR position at the time of exercise of the call option and after the exercise
Lock-in Clause	<p>NBFCs may defer the payment of interest, if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ the NBFC’s CRAR is below the minimum regulatory requirement prescribed by RBI; or ▪ the impact of such payment results in NBFC’s CRAR falling below or

Rating Methodology –NBFCs

Maturity Period	Perpetual maturity
	remaining below the minimum regulatory requirement
Interest Payment	Interest payment requires prior approval of RBI when the impact of such payment may result in net loss or increase the net loss, provided the CRAR remains above the regulatory norm
Claim Seniority	Claims of the PDI investors shall be superior to the claims of equity shareholders and subordinated to the claims of all other creditors
Capital Treatment	PDI shall be eligible to be treated as Tier I capital upto 15% of total Tier I capital. The amount of PDI in excess of amount admissible as Tier I shall qualify as Tier II capital

The 'Lock-in' clause introduces additional risk to the servicing of interest on perpetual debt instruments by NBFCs. Given the above features, such instruments are rated at least one notch lower than the rating of senior debt in view of their increased sensitivity to the NBFC's Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), capital-raising ability and profitability during the long tenure of the instruments. Any delay in payment of interest/principal (as the case may be) following the invocation of the lock-in clause, would constitute an event of default as per CARE's definition of default and as such, these instruments may exhibit a somewhat sharper migration of the rating compared with conventional debt instruments.

[Last reviewed in September 2019. Next Review due in September 2020.]

CARE Ratings Limited

(Formerly known as Credit Analysis & Research Ltd.)

4th Floor, Godrej Coliseum, Somaiya Hospital Road, Off Eastern Express Highway, Sion (East), Mumbai
- 400022.

Tel: +91-22-6754 3456, Fax: +91-22- 6754 3457, E-mail: care@careratings.com

Disclaimer

CARE's ratings are opinions on the likelihood of timely payment of the obligations under the rated instrument and are not recommendations to sanction, renew, disburse or recall the concerned bank facilities or to buy, sell or hold any security. CARE's ratings do not convey suitability or price for the investor. CARE's ratings do not constitute an audit on the rated entity. CARE has based its ratings/outlooks on information obtained from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. CARE does not, however, guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. Most entities whose bank facilities/instruments are rated by CARE have paid a credit rating fee, based on the amount and type of bank facilities/instruments. CARE or its subsidiaries/associates may also have other commercial transactions with the entity. In case of partnership/proprietary concerns, the rating /outlook assigned by CARE is, inter-alia, based on the capital deployed by the partners/proprietor and the financial strength of the firm at present. The rating/outlook may undergo change in case of withdrawal of capital or the unsecured loans brought in by the partners/proprietor in addition to the financial performance and other relevant factors. CARE is not responsible for any errors and states that it has no financial liability whatsoever to the users of CARE's rating. Our ratings do not factor in any rating related trigger clauses as per the terms of the facility/instrument, which may involve acceleration of payments in case of rating downgrades. However, if any such clauses are introduced and if triggered, the ratings may see volatility and sharp downgrades.