

Construction Grading

Grading Of Project Developer –Symbols and Definition

| Grade / Symbol | Explanation |
|----------------|--|
| CD1 | Competence in project management and arranging adequate funding for the project is very high. |
| CD2 | Competence in project management and arranging necessary funding is high, though marginally lower than CD1 category. |
| CD3 | Backing for the project either in terms of project management or arranging funding is moderate. Assumptions that do not materialise may have a greater impact as compared to the developers graded higher. |
| CD4 | Backing for the project either in terms of project management or arranging funding is inadequate. Assumptions that do not materialise may have a greater impact as compared to the developers graded higher. |
| CD5 | Track record in managing and completing projects is poor. |

Grading Of Project Consultants – Symbols and Definition

| Grade / Symbol | Explanation |
|----------------|---|
| CC1 | Technical, design and engineering strengths are very high. Ability to pay liquidated damages in the event of non-conformance to contractual obligations is very high. |
| CC2 | Technical, design and engineering strengths are high but marginally lower than CC1 category. Ability to pay liquidated damages in the event of non-conformance to contractual obligations is high. |
| CC3 | Technical, design and engineering strengths are moderate. Ability to pay liquidated damages in the event of non-conformance to contractual obligations is moderate. Assumptions that do not materialise may have a greater impact as compared to the consultants graded higher. |
| CC4 | Technical, design and engineering strengths are inadequate. Ability to pay liquidated damages in the event of non-conformance to contractual obligations is low. Assumptions that do not materialise may have a greater impact as compared to the consultants graded higher. |
| CC5 | Technical, design and engineering strengths are poor. The consultant has been unable to deliver as per contractual requirements. |

Grading Of Construction Contractor –Symbols and Definition

| Grade / Symbol | Explanation |
|----------------|--|
| CCt1 | Project execution capabilities are very high. Ability to pay liquidated damages for non-conformance to contractual obligations and to complete the project as per schedule and without any time overruns is very high. |
| CCt2 | Project execution capabilities are high. Ability to pay liquidated damages for non-conformance to contractual obligations and to complete the project as per schedule and without any time overruns is high, though marginally lower than CCt1 category. |
| CCt3 | Project execution capabilities are moderate. Ability to pay liquidated damages for non-conformance to contractual obligations and to complete the project as per schedule and without any time overruns is moderate. Contract completion can be affected by any adverse changes in the industry prospects. Assumptions that do not materialise may have a greater impact as compared to the contractors graded higher. |
| CCt4 | Project execution capabilities are inadequate. Ability to pay liquidated damages for non-conformance to contractual obligations and to complete the project as per schedule and without any time overruns is inadequate. Contract completion can be affected by any adverse changes in the industry prospects. Assumptions that do not materialise may have a greater impact as compared to the contractors graded higher. |
| CCt5 | Project execution capabilities are poor. |

Grading Of Project –Symbols and Definition

| Grade / Symbol | Explanation |
|----------------|---|
| CP1 | Very good project. All project risks have been identified and measures taken to contain these risks. Likelihood of project implementation as per schedule is the highest. |
| CP2 | Good project. Likelihood of project implementation as per schedule is high though marginally lower than CP1 category. |
| CP3 | Moderate project. The project risks are moderate and any change in assumptions or external factors can have an adverse bearing on timely project completion. Assumptions that do not materialise may have a greater impact as compared to the projects graded higher. |
| CP4 | Weak project. Risk factors are high. Probability of adherence to project schedules is negligible. Assumptions that do not materialise may have a greater impact as compared to the projects graded higher. |
| CP5 | Poor project. Chance of project failure is high. |

CARE assigns ‘+’ or ‘-’ sign may be shown after the assigned grading to indicate the relative position within the band covered by the grading symbol.